8th December 1952]

management to find out if both parties will be agreeable to conciliation by a Committee. The Union and the management are being approached in the matter afresh."

SRI S. NARAYANAPPA :—" On a point of information, Sir. All the questions that were postponed on the previous day have not been included in to-day's list."

MR. SPEAKER :—" The hon. Member should have written to me requesting the renewal of his questions. He has not done so. So the answers to those questions will be printed in the proceedings."

SRI P. RAMAMURTHI :—" Is it not the policy of the Government to order conciliation in cases where the management refuse to implement the award of an Industrial Court? "

THE HON. DR. U. KRISHNA RAO :—" This is with regard to the latest report that the management have changed the grades of workers. We are trying to find out if there is truth in that allegation and settle the matter out of Court if possible."

SRI P. RAMAMURTHI :—" Is the failure to implement the award of the Industrial Tribunal due to the fact that it was favourable to workers? "

THE HON. DR. U. KRISHNA RAO :—" I am afraid I have not made myself clear. With regard to the first decision, I have not got the date here. Probably in March 1951 or so, the first decision was arrived at. This is with regard to the report about the regrading of the workers."

SRI M. PALANIYANDI :—" இதுமாதிரியாக பல தீர்ப்புகளை அமுல் நடத்தாத நிர்வாகஸ்தர்களை ப்ராசிக்யூஷன் செய்ய சர்க்கார் முன் வருமா.?"

THE HON. DR. U. KRISHNA RAO :—" Certainly, if definite instances are brought to our notice, we shall try to give the best help possible to the workers."

SRI V. BALAKRISHNAN :—" Is it not a fact that the dispute itself centered round the grading of workers, and if so, where is the need for further conciliation, especially when the Tribunal has given its award? "

THE HON. DR. U. KRISHNA RAO :—" The second report was that the management have tampered with the wages, attendance register, etc., and so it was difficult to determine correctly the amount due to each worker, whereas the first report was that basic wages for different categories should be fixed. These are two different matters for investigation."

*Grant of interest-free loans to handloom weavers.*

* 336 *Q.*—SRI PRAGADA KOTAIAH: Will the Hon. the Minister for Co-operation be pleased to state the action taken by the Government regarding the recommendation made by the Madras Handloom Committee at its meeting held on 4th August

[8th December 1952

1952 that the Government should grant interest-free loans of Rs. 25 each to handloom weavers willing to join co-operatives, whether the co-operative undertakes to work the Relief Scheme or not?

THE HON. SRI D. SANJIVAYYA :—" The Registrar of Co-operative Societies has been instructed to submit necessary proposals to Government as and when there is a real demand for the grant of interest-free loans for handloom weavers to join Weavers' Co-operative Societies."

SRI PRAGADA KOTAIAH :—" May I know, Sir, when the Government passed orders and whether the Registrar has made any proposal so far? "

THE HON. SRI D. SANJIVAYYA :—" I cannot at the moment give the date on which the order was passed. But so far as the proposal from the Registrar is concerned, we have not received any."

SRI P. RAMAMURTHI :—" Ever since this High Power Committee's report was submitted and accepted by Government and about which much was made on the floor of the House during the last Budget session, may I know the total amount that has been disbursed to the handloom weavers for joining co-operative societies and how many members have joined so far? "

THE HON. SRI D. SANJIVAYYA :—" Since the introduction of the relief scheme, about 8,000 weavers have joined."

SRI C. V. K. RAO :—" Have the Government extended this concession of interest-free-loans to handloom weavers in all districts without partiality? "

THE HON. SRI D. SANJIVAYYA :—" Without any partiality whatsoever."

SRI K. R. VISWANATHAN :—" May I know why many of the co-operative societies are not undertaking to work the relief schemes even though they are intended for the members of the societies? "

THE HON. SRI D. SANJIVAYYA :—" The main difficulty is with regard to the fact that yarn is available in free market, probably at cheaper rates than from the co-operative societies. Therefore, those weavers who are outside the co-operative movement are not willing to join the co-operative societies. That is one reason, the second reason being that some of the weavers are under the control of those who are known as 'master weavers'. So they are not at liberty to join the co-operative societies."

SRI P. RAMAMURTHI :—" During the last session it was
2-10 p.m. said that the Government sanctioned a sum of fifty lakhs of rupees for enabling handloom weavers to join the co-operative societies. In view of the fact that a major portion of this has not been

8th December 1952]

utilized, will the Government take it that the High Power Committee is a failure and cannot meet the situation in which the handloom weavers are placed?"

THE HON. SRI D. SANJIVAYYA:—"I do not think that this scheme has been a failure. But in the light of the fact that a huge amount has not been utilized, we will reconsider the position."

DR. K. B. MENON:—"What is the percentage of weavers who are members of co-operative societies?"

THE HON. SRI D. SANJIVAYYA:—"I cannot say at the moment."

SRI M. JAGANNATHAM:—"Arising out of the Hon. the Minister's reply that some 8,000 weavers have joined the co-operative societies, I would like to know how many of them are Harijans?"

THE HON. SRI D. SANJIVAYYA:—"A fresh question may be put."

SRI S. NARAYANAPPA:—"Is it a fact that wages have been reduced from six annas to five and a half annas for weavers who are working under the Relief Scheme?"

THE HON. SRI D. SANJIVAYYA:—"Not anything that I know of."

*Legislation regarding inams.*

* 337 *Q.*—SRI T. VISWANATHAM: Will the Hon. the Minister for Land Revenue be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether the Government have received a memorandum from (1) Badigandi Suryanarayana; (2) Badigandi Erakayya; (3) Butharayavalsa Ramudu; and (4) Aduri Ramudu, inamdars, requesting early legislation on inams providing fair compensation and right to personal cultivation in the case of small inamdars; and

(*b*) whether the Government have under contemplation any comprehensive legislation on inams?

THE HON. SRI M. A. MANICKAVELU NAICKER:—"(*a*) Yes. The Government have examined the memorandum. They do not consider that legislation on the lines suggested therein is called for.

"(*b*) No."

SRI T. VISWANATHAM:—"I can understand the Hon. the Minister saying that he does not propose to bring in legislation on the lines suggested by the petitioners. But why do not the Government, knowing all the troubles in the inam areas, think of a comprehensive legislation?"